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Abstract
The present research investigated the role of two sources of psychological need satisfaction (inside and outside a passionate activity) as determinants of harmonious (HP) and obsessive (OP) passion. Four studies were carried out with different samples of young and middle-aged adults (e.g., athletes, musicians; total N = 648). Different research designs (cross-sectional, mixed, longitudinal) were also used. Results showed that only a rigid engagement in a passionate activity (OP) was predicted by low levels of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (in an important life context or in life in general), whereas both OP and a more favorable and balanced type of passion, HP were positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity. Further, OP led to negative outcomes, and HP predicted positive outcomes. These results suggest that OP may represent a form of compensatory striving for psychological need satisfaction. It appears important to consider two distinct sources of need satisfaction, inside and outside the passionate activity, when investigating determinants of optimal and less optimal forms of activity engagement.

People can have all kinds of passions: for sports, music, work, and a variety of other leisure activities. According to the dualistic model of passion (DMP; Vallerand, 2008; Vallerand et al., 2003), passion is defined as a strong inclination toward a self-defining activity that one loves, values, and considers important, and in which one invests considerable time and energy. While much research has explored the consequences of one’s involvement in a passionate activity, much less attention has been paid to the determinants of initial engagement in a passionate activity as well as the determinants of continued engagement in an activity one has been passionate about for years. The present article focuses on the determinants of continued engagement in a passionate activity.

The Experience of Passion
The DMP describes two specific types of passion: harmonious and obsessive. Harmonious passion (HP) is characterized by a balanced and agreeable involvement in the passionate activity. With HP, the activity that one loves occupies a significant place in the person’s life but remains in harmony with other aspects of the person’s life. There should be little or no conflict between the person’s passionate activity and other life domains. In fact, research shows that HP is negatively related to experiences of conflict between one’s passion and other life domains (Caudroit, Boiché, Stephan, Le Scanff, & Trouilloud, 2011, Study 1; Vallerand, Paquet, Philippe, & Charest, 2010). This absence of conflict enables people to experience a number of positive outcomes both during and after task engagement. For instance, research shows that with HP, people experience high levels of concentration (Mageau, Vallerand, Rousseau, Ratelle, & Provencher, 2005), flow (Philippe, Vallerand, Richer, Vallières, & Bergeron, 2009; Wang, Khoo, Liu, & Divaharan, 2008), positive emotions (Mageau & Vallerand, 2007; Vallerand et al., 2008; Vallerand et al., 2006), high-quality relationships (Lafrenière, Jowett, Vallerand, & Carbonneau, 2011; Philippe, Vallerand, Houlifort, Lavigne, & Donahue, 2010), and psychological well-being (Rousseau & Vallerand, 2008). Furthermore, when prevented from engaging in their passionate activity, individuals
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with an HP are able to adapt well to the situation and focus their attention and energy elsewhere. Essentially, with HP, the person is in control of the activity and can decide when and when not to engage in it.

Obsessive passion (OP), in contrast, is characterized by an uncontrollable urge to engage in the passionate activity that one loves. OP leads the person to put aside or neglect other important activities, which often leads to conflict between one’s passionate activity and other activities (Mageau & Vallerand, 2007), as well as conflict with one’s partner (Séguin-Levesque, Laliberté, Pelletier, Blanchard, & Vallerand, 2003). A rigid persistence in the passionate activity at the expense of other activities may lead to increased performance in the activity (e.g., Vallerand et al., 2007, 2008), but it is also associated with negative affective, cognitive, and behavioral consequences during and after activity engagement. Research shows that with OP, people have a difficult time concentrating and do not experience much flow when engaged in the activity (Mageau et al., 2005; Vallerand et al., 2003, 2008; Wang et al., 2008). Research also shows that with OP, people experience negative emotions both during and after activity engagement (Mageau et al., 2005; Vallerand et al., 2006) and ruminate when prevented from engaging in the activity (Ratelle, Vallerand, Mageau, Rousseau, & Provencher, 2004). OP has also been found to be unrelated to positive emotions (Vallerand et al., 2003) and either negatively related (Rousseau & Vallerand, 2003) or unrelated (Vallerand & Miquelon, 2007) to satisfaction with life. In sum, while OP may lead to some benefits (e.g., improved performance in the activity; Bonneville-Roussy, Lavigne, & Vallerand, 2011; Vallerand et al., 2007, 2008), it may also incur important costs. Eventually, with OP, individuals adopt unbalanced lifestyles.

The Development of Passion

According to the DMP, there are three important stages in the development of both types of passion (see Mageau et al., 2009; Vallerand, 2015). First, an individual selects an activity, among all available to him or her, to engage in. Second, the person must come to value the chosen activity. As will be discussed below, the extent to which an activity satisfies one’s psychological needs determines in part its value. Third, the valued activity becomes internalized in one’s identity in one of two ways (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Sheldon, 2002). Internalization is the most important stage, as it determines the type of passion that will be predominant for the individual. The first type of internalization is autonomous internalization. When a passionate activity is internalized in this way, the person feels free to engage in the activity when he or she wants to. There are no strings attached to one’s involvement in the passionate activity. This type of internalization leads to HP (Vallerand, 2010, 2015). The second type of internalization is controlled internalization, and it leads to OP. With this type of internalization, the individual feels pressured to engage in the activity that he or she loves. Feelings of self-worth or social acceptance may be tied to one’s engagement in the passionate activity (Deci & Ryan, 1985; Vallerand, 2010). Indeed, recent research has shown that OP is associated with self-esteem contingencies, whereas HP is not (Mageau, Carpenter, & Vallerand, 2011).

Determinants of Passion: Need Satisfaction

As mentioned above, valuation of an activity that can become a passion depends on its ability to satisfy people’s basic psychological needs. The more one’s needs are satisfied when engaging in the activity, the more one should value the activity (Vallerand et al., 2003). According to self-determination theory (SDT; Deci & Ryan, 1985, 2000), people need three specific psychological nutrients to thrive: feelings of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. The need for autonomy refers to feeling free to make decisions and to behave in ways that express one’s true interests. The need for competence pertains to feeling that one deals effectively with the environment. Finally, the need for relatedness refers to having reciprocal, fulfilling connections with others. To the extent that one’s psychological needs are satisfied, one should experience optimal levels of psychological and physical health. Research has shown that the satisfaction of the three basic needs is associated with a range of positive outcomes, including positive affect (Sheldon, Ryan, & Reis, 1996), well-being (Deci et al., 2001; Gillet, Fouquereau, Forest, Brunault, & Colombat, 2012; Reis, Sheldon, Gable, Roscoe, & Ryan, 2000; Tay & Diener, 2011), vitality (Bartholomew, Ntoumanis, Ryan, Bosch, & Thogersen-Ntoumani, 2011; Ryan & Frederick, 1997), and general health (Ilardi, Leone, Kasser, & Ryan, 1993). Unsatisfied needs have been associated with negative repercussions for one’s health and well-being, such as negative affect, burnout, and depression (Bartholomew et al., 2011; Ryan, Deci, Grolnick, & La Guardia, 2006). Naturally, people seek to satisfy their needs (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Whether or not individuals succeed in satisfying these needs, however, depends largely on the environment. Social contexts can provide individuals with the nutrients that allow them to feel autonomous, competent, and related to others in the course of their activity engagement (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Because of their nature, passionate activities have the potential to satisfy people’s basic psychological needs (see Deci & Ryan, 2000; Vallerand, 2010). Engaging in a passionate activity provides feelings of autonomy—when it is engaged in freely—as it reflects one’s identity. Furthermore, passionate individuals who engage in their activity on a regular basis come to gain skills and develop feelings of competence along the way (Deci & Ryan, 1994). Finally, if the activity is shared with others, individuals have the opportunity to develop friendships and feel positively related to others. Over time, people may continue to engage in the passionate activity on a regular basis in order to satisfy some, or all, of their psychological needs.

Recent research provides insights into the relation between favorable environmental conditions for need satisfaction—
namely, autonomy support—and passion. For instance, Mageau et al. (2009, Study 3) conducted a study with nearly 200 high
school students enrolled in a mandatory music class but who had
never played music before. The purpose of the study was to dis-
cover who, among these students, would develop a passion for
music at the end of the school term. Their results showed that
36% of the students had developed a passion for music. Interest-
ingly, results also showed that among those who had developed
a passion, autonomy support from parents and teachers positively
predicted HP. Conversely, autonomy support negatively predicted
OP. In another study (Mageau et al., 2009, Study 1), high-level
musicians and athletes completed measures of need satisfaction
and the Passion Scale. Results showed that participants who
reported higher levels of autonomy support from teachers,
coaches, and parents also had higher levels of HP. Similarly,
Bonneville-Roussy, Vallerand, and Bouffard (2013, Study 2)
showed that autonomy support from music teachers predicted
HP, whereas teachers’ controlling behavior predicted OP among
music students at the collegiate level (i.e., non-beginners). Other
research has similarly shown that perceived autonomy support
positively predicts HP and negatively predicts OP at work (Liu,
Chen, & Yao, 2011, Study 2). Taken together, these results sug-
gest that autonomy support (a precursor to autonomy need satis-
faction) is an important determinant of passion not only at the
initial stage of the development of passion but also years into
activity engagement.

While research has looked at the role of autonomy support in the
development of passion, little research has investigated the
role of need satisfaction per se—that is, to what extent people
feel that their needs are satisfied—in the type of passionate
game or behavior. People manifest toward activities they are already
passionate about. Moreover, to our knowledge, no research has
yet looked at need satisfaction from two distinct sources: inside
and outside the passionate activity.

Need satisfaction inside the passionate activity corresponds
to the feelings of autonomy, competence, and relatedness one
experiences while engaging in the passionate activity. Since,
with HP, people feel free to engage in the activity, can gain skills
and abilities, and can develop friendships along the way, psy-
chological need satisfaction inside the passionate activity should
represent a determinant of this type of passion. Similarly, with
OP, people have the same opportunities to gain skills and
develop friendships. For these reasons, psychological need satis-
faction inside the passionate activity should also represent a
determinant of HP.

At the same time, need satisfaction outside the passionate
activity might also be a determinant of obsessive passion. This
type of need satisfaction refers to feelings of autonomy, com-
petence, and relatedness felt either in an important context (aside
from the passionate activity) or in life in general. It is believed
that needs that are chronically unsatisfied outside the passionate
activity lead the person to compensate by becoming overly
involved in the need-satisfying passionate activity (Ryan et al.,
2006; Vansteenkiste & Ryan, 2013). Chronic need dissatisfac-
tion in an important life domain might prompt people to seek
need satisfaction in another area (see Vallerand, 1997; Valler-
and & Miquelon, 2008), such as in the passionate activity.
However, in doing so, people may also come to display a rigid
persistence toward the activity, develop an OP, and experience
the negative consequences associated with it. Such should not
be the case for HP, as it should not represent a compensatory
form of activity involvement. With HP, people have internal-
ized the passionate activity in an autonomous fashion and there-
fore do not engage in the activity out of pressure or to satisfy
external contingencies, such as social acceptance (Deci &

The Present Research

The purpose of the present research is to test the hypothesis that
when one’s needs are unsatisfied in life in general or in an
important context outside the passionate activity, the person
becomes overly reliant on this activity, loses control over it, and
manifests an OP. It was therefore expected that OP would result
from low levels of need satisfaction outside the activity and high
levels of need satisfaction inside of it, whereas HP would result
only from high levels of need satisfaction inside the activity. HP
was also expected to lead to more positive outcomes than OP.

We conducted four studies in which we first assessed both
types of passion. In Study 1, we focused on passion for music;
in Study 2, we looked at passion for a range of different activ-
ities; in Study 3, we assessed passion for basketball; and in
Study 4, we tested passion for work. Then we assessed need sat-
faction inside the passionate activity as well as outside the
activity, such as at work (Study 1) or in life in general (Studies
2, 3, and 4). Positive and negative consequences of passionate
involvement were also assessed. It was important to measure the
consequences of passion in order to show that even though both
types of passion may share the same determinant (need satisfac-
tion inside the activity), they nevertheless lead to different out-
comes. In line with the literature, HP was expected to lead to
more adaptive outcomes than OP.

STUDY 1

Participants in Study 1 were passionate about playing a musical
instrument. Playing an instrument can represent a need-
satisfying passionate activity when it allows one to feel autono-
mous, competent, and related to others (e.g., if one is in a band).
Satisfaction with life was included as an outcome measure in the
model. We expected both low levels of need satisfaction at work
and high levels of need satisfaction in the context of music play-
ing to predict OP. We expected that only high levels of need satis-
faction inside the passionate activity would predict HP. HP
was also expected to positively predict life satisfaction. Given
the mixed results in the literature where OP is either negatively
related or unrelated to life satisfaction (see Vallerand, 2010), we
did not formulate a hypothesis concerning this relationship.
Method

Participants. Participants were recruited using advertisements on Facebook. Advertisements targeted individuals whose Facebook page included the following keywords: guitar, drums, or piano/keyboards. Those who clicked on the link were redirected to an online survey after having given their consent to participate. This study and all of the following studies were submitted to appropriate ethics control boards for approval. Participants were not compensated for participating in this study or any of the following studies. Participants in this first study were 116 North American English-speaking individuals (28 women, 87 men, 1 unspecified) who played a musical instrument as a hobby. The mean age of the participants was 30.15 years ($SD = 12.29$ years). On average, participants had been playing a musical instrument for 16.34 years ($SD = 12.22$ years) and played on average 9.08 hours per week ($SD = 10.30$ hours). The final sample, after exclusion of outliers (see Data Analyses below), comprised 111 individuals.

Measures. Unless otherwise indicated, all variables in this study and all of the following studies were measured using 7-point Likert-type scales, with higher scores indicating higher levels of the construct. Reliability coefficients were measured using Cronbach’s alpha.

Need Satisfaction Inside the Activity (in Music). Inspired by Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), the Basic Psychological Needs Scale measures the extent to which individuals’ psychological needs are met in general in one’s life (see Gagné, Ryan, & Bargmann, 2003; Kashdan, Julian, Merritt, & Uswatte, 2006). Twelve items (four items for each need) were adapted to measure the extent to which individuals’ needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are met when they play music. Adaptations to the items are italicized in the following examples: “I feel that the way I play guitar is definitely an expression of myself” (autonomy); “Most days, I feel a sense of accomplishment from what I do in music” (competence); and “I consider the musicians I regularly interact with to be my friends” (relatedness). All need satisfaction items were adapted to form a measure of overall need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (i.e., in music). The reliability coefficient for this variable was .74.

Need Satisfaction Outside the Activity (at Work). The Work-Basic Needs Scale (Van den Broeck, Vansteenkiste, De Witte, Soenens, & Lens, 2010) was used to measure the degree to which participants’ needs for autonomy (three items), competence (three items), and relatedness (three items) are met outside the passionate activity, namely, in the workplace. Sample items include “I feel like I can be myself at my job” (autonomy), “I feel competent at my job” (competence), and “At work I feel part of a group” (relatedness). Items were measured using a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (totally disagree) to 5 (totally agree). All items were combined to form a single need satisfaction variable. The reliability coefficient for this variable was .71.

Passion for Music. Passion for playing a musical instrument was assessed with the Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003), which is composed of two six-item subscales assessing harmonious and obsessive passion. Much research provides support for the reliability and validity of the scale (Marsh et al., 2013; Vallerand, 2010). In addition, research reveals that the scale is invariant with respect to gender, types of activities, and language (English and French). The scale is made in such a way that the words passionate activity can be changed for any activity in a given study. In the present study, the words passionate activity were replaced with playing guitar. Alternatively, some participants completed the survey with regard to drums or piano/keyboards. Sample items include “Playing guitar is in harmony with other things that are a part of me” (HP) and “Playing guitar is so exciting that I sometimes lose control over it” (OP). Both subscales were found to have adequate reliability coefficients: .86 and .88 for HP and OP, respectively.

Satisfaction With Life. The five-item Satisfaction With Life Scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985) was used to assess satisfaction with general aspects of one’s life. A sample item is “In most ways my life is close to my ideal.” The scale’s reliability was .92.

Results and Discussion

Data Analyses. In this study, and all following studies, structural equation modeling analyses (path analyses with observed variables) were performed on raw data files using the maximum likelihood estimation procedure in EQS 6.1 (Bentler, 1995). Model fit was first assessed using a chi-square test. Typically, a nonsignificant chi-square value indicates that the model is able to suitably replicate the sample covariance matrix. However, because this statistic is sensitive to the size of correlations and sample size, some researchers (e.g., Kline, 2011) have suggested reporting the normed chi-square value—the chi-square value divided by degrees of freedom. According to Bollen (1989), a normed chi-square value lower than 3.0 indicates that the model fits the data reasonably well. Model fit was also assessed using the following indices: the comparative fit index (CFI), the incremental fit index (IFI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). According to Kline (2011) and Tabachnik and Fidell (2007), CFI and IFI values above .90 indicate acceptable model fit. Moreover, while some authors suggest the RMSEA should be .08 or lower (e.g., Kline, 2011), others question the use of a precise cut-off point for the RMSEA and suggest interpreting this value in conjunction with other indices of model fit (Chen, Curran, Bollen, Kirby, & Paxton, 2008). Finally, in order to obtain the model that best fits the data, we used Wald and Lagrange tests (Bentler, 1995). The Wald test indicates which parameters could be dropped, and the Lagrange test
Multiplier test indicates which parameters could be added to increase model fit. Together, these two tests allowed us to obtain the best-fitting statistical model given the data. Robust statistics are reported for all studies.

Means, standard deviations, and correlations for all measures in Study 1 are presented in Table 1. Missing values (less than 5% missing at random) were replaced by regression-based imputation. No univariate outliers were found. Five multivariate outliers exceeded the 20.52 critical chi-square value ($df = 5$, $p = .001$) and were deleted. As shown in Figure 1, HP was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (i.e., in music), ($\beta = .64$, $p < .001$). OP was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity ($\beta = .49$, $p < .001$) and need satisfaction outside the activity (i.e., at work; $\beta = -.38$, $p < .001$). Finally, satisfaction with life was positively predicted by HP ($\beta = .46$, $p < .001$) and marginally negatively predicted by OP ($\beta = -.14$, $p = .067$). The model fit was good, S-B $\chi^2(3, N = 111) = 0.82$, $p = .85$, $\chi^2/df = 0.27$, CFI = 1.0, IFI = 1.0, RMSEA = 0.0.

The results of Study 1 provide initial support for the hypothesis whereby OP, but not HP, is predicted by low levels of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity. In contrast, both types of passion are predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity. It should be noted, however, that the association between need satisfaction in the activity and HP is stronger than that between the same source of need satisfaction and OP. Furthermore, in line with past research, HP positively predicted life satisfaction, and although the association was only marginally significant, OP negatively predicted life satisfaction. These results provide preliminary evidence of the compensatory role of OP in which a lack of need satisfaction in an important life context may lead to a rigid engagement in a need-satisfying passionate activity. Given that it is not possible to infer causality in correlational designs, we opted for a mixed design to provide evidence that a lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity leads to OP.

**STUDY 2**

A mixed design was used to manipulate need satisfaction outside the passionate activity, which was shown in Study 1 to differentially predict OP and HP. We hypothesized that inducing low levels of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity would lead to higher levels of OP but was not expected to influence HP. We also expected that high levels of need satisfaction inside the passionate activity would predict both types of passion. We used a different outcome variable in Study 2, namely, negative affect. Past research has shown that HP was negatively associated with negative affect and that OP was positively associated with it (Burke, Sabiston, & Vallerand, 2012; Vallerand et al., 2003). Finally, for generalizability purposes, we used a different measure of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity.

**Method**

**Participants.** Participants were 143 American citizens (85 women, 57 men, 1 unspecified) who were recruited using the Amazon Mechanical Turk online survey program. The mean age of the participants was 31.98 years ($SD = 14.29$ years). Participants reported being passionate about a myriad of activities,
including sports and exercise (n = 37), arts and crafts (n = 32),
reading (n = 26), playing games (n = 7), and other activities
(n = 41). On average, participants had been engaging in their
passionate activity for 13.42 years (SD = 11.90 years) and for
15.62 hours per week on average (SD = 13.19 hours). The final
sample, after exclusions (see Procedure and Main Analyses
below), includes 132 individuals.

Procedure. Participants completed a survey in which they were
first asked to report an activity that they loved, that they found
important, and in which they invested time and energy (i.e., the
passion definition). Participants were then given a questionnaire
assessing to what extent their needs for autonomy, competence,
and relatedness are satisfied in the context of their passionate
activity. Afterward, participants were randomly assigned to one
of two experimental conditions, inspired by the work of
Bélanger, Lafrenière, Vallerand, and Kruglanski (2013). In the
need satisfaction condition (n = 75), participants were asked to
“Write about a recent and important event in your life (outside of
your activity) where you felt highly competent, related to others,
and/or were able to freely make choices.” Participants in the
need-thwarting condition (n = 56) were assigned to a similar
writing task but were instructed to “Write about a recent and
important event in your life (outside of your activity) where you
felt not competent, not related to others, and/or were not able to
freely make choices.”

Importantly, participants in both conditions were asked to
recall this event vividly and include as much detail as they could
to relive the experience. Following this task, participants com-
pleted the scales on passion, need satisfaction outside the activ-
ity (manipulation check), and negative affect. Researchers then
coded participants’ reported events to ensure that at least one of
the basic psychological needs was satisfied or unsatisfied,
depending upon the condition. Seven participants were removed
from the final analyses because the events they reported did not
refer to any of the three basic psychological needs.

Features

Need Satisfaction Inside the Passionate Activity. Need satisfaction
inside the passionate activity was assessed using 12 items of the
Basic Psychological Needs Scale based on SDT (Deci & Ryan,
2000). Adaptations to the items are italicized in the following
eamples: “I feel that the way I engage in my passionate activity
is definitely an expression of myself” (autonomy); “Most days, I feel
a sense of accomplishment from what I do in my passionate
activity” (competence); and “I consider the people I regularly inter-
act with in the context of my passionate activity to be my friends”
(relatedness). The three needs were once again aggregated to form
a global measure of need satisfaction inside the passionate activity.
The reliability coefficient for this measure was .78.

Need Satisfaction Outside the Activity. Twelve items
from the Basic Psychological Needs Scale (Deci & Ryan,
2000) were used to measure need satisfaction outside the activity
(in life in general). Once again, we combined all three needs to cre-
gate a global measure of need satisfaction outside the passionate
activity. The reliability coefficient for this measure was .93. This
measure served exclusively as a manipulation check of the
experimental induction of need satisfaction outside the activity.

Passion for an Activity. Passion was assessed using the
Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003). Participants were asked
to respond to the items with regard to the specific activity for
which they were passionate. The two six-item subscales pro-
vided adequate reliability coefficients: .87 and .86 for HP and
OP, respectively.

Negative Affect. The five-item Negative Affect subscale
was taken from the short version of the Positive and Negative
Affect Scale (PANAS; Mackimon et al., 1999) and was used to
measure the negative affect experienced in the context of the pas-
sionate activity. This instrument uses a 5-point Likert-type scale
ranging from 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (extremely).
A sample item is “When I engage in my passionate activity, I feel nervous.” The reliability coefficient for this measure was .86.

Results and Discussion

Data Analyses

Manipulation Check. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) with need satisfaction outside the activity as the dependent measure was conducted. The main effect of experimental condition was significant, \( F(1, 123) = 4.74, p = .03, \eta^2 = .04 \); self-reported need satisfaction outside the activity was higher in the satisfaction condition \( M = 5.21, SD = 1.11 \) than in the thwarting condition \( M = 4.75, SD = 1.19 \). In addition, those in the thwarting condition \( M = 3.50, SD = 1.52 \) reported higher levels of OP than those in the satisfaction condition \( M = 2.96, SD = 1.47 \), \( F(1, 123) = 3.95, p = .049, \eta^2 = .03 \). The need satisfaction induction was thus effective. No differences between conditions were found regarding levels of HP \( (p > .05) \).

Main Analyses. We dummy-coded need satisfaction outside the passionate activity as 1 and need thwarting outside the activity as 0. Means, standard deviations, and correlations for all measures are presented in Table 2. Missing values (less than 5% missing at random) were replaced by regression-based imputation. No univariate or multivariate outliers were found. Finally, missing at random) were replaced by regression-based imputation. Measures are presented in Table 2. Missing values (less than 5% expected that it would positively predict life satisfaction and negatively predict burnout. Finally, since global need satisfaction has been shown to predict indicators of psychological well-being in past research (e.g., Tay & Diener, 2011), we expected that it would positively predict life satisfaction and negatively predict burnout.

Table 2: Study 2: Summary of Inter correlations, Means, and Standard Deviations for All Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Needs: in</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Needs: out (manipulation)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-08</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Harmonious passion</td>
<td>.19*</td>
<td></td>
<td>-30*</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Obsessive passion</td>
<td>.16*</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Negative affect</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * = need-thwarting condition, 1 = need satisfaction condition. *p < .05.

We expected low levels of need satisfaction in life in general to predict only OP. High levels of need satisfaction in basketball were expected to predict both HP and OP. HP was also expected to positively predict life satisfaction, whereas OP was hypothesized to positively predict burnout. Finally, since global need satisfaction has been shown to predict indicators of psychological well-being in past research (e.g., Tay & Diener, 2011), we expected that it would positively predict life satisfaction and negatively predict burnout.

Method

Participants. Participants were recruited via Facebook. Individuals whose Facebook page included keywords related to basketball were solicited. In total, 197 North American English-speaking individuals who played basketball as a hobby (55 women, 134 men, 8 unspecified) completed an online survey. The mean age of the participants was 16.78 years \( (SD = 2.77 \) years). On average, they had been playing basketball for 6.19 years \( (SD = 3.83 \) years) and played on average 11.99 hours per week \( (SD = 11.22 \) hours). The final sample, after exclusions (see Data Analyses below) consists of 193 individuals.

Measures

Need Satisfaction Inside the Activity (in Basketball). To assess need satisfaction inside the passionate activity, we used the Basic Psychological Needs in Exercise Scale (BPNE) developed by Vlachopoulos and Michaillardou (2006). This 12-item scale assesses the extent to which one’s basic needs are met in exercise. For the purpose of the present study, we rephrased the items to assess need satisfaction in basketball rather than in exercise. Sample items include “I feel very strongly that the way I play basketball fits perfectly the way I

STUDY 3

In Study 3, participants were passionate about basketball. Basketball has the potential to satisfy one’s basic needs for autonomy (deciding how one plays), competence (making progress and acquiring skills), and relatedness (feeling close to one’s teammates). As in Study 2, we chose to assess need satisfaction outside of basketball life in general. This time, however, two outcome variables were assessed. In addition to life satisfaction, we also assessed burnout, a negative outcome often reported by athletes (Lemyre, Roberts, & Stray-Gundersen, 2007). Past research has shown that OP represents a significant predictor of burnout (Carbonneau, Vallerand, Fernet, & Guay, 2008; Stenseng, Rise, & Kraft, 2011; Vallerand et al., 2010).
prefer to play” (autonomy); “I feel that basketball is an activity in which I do very well” (competence); and “I feel very much at ease with the other basketball players” (relatedness). All items were combined to create a single satisfaction variable. The reliability coefficient for this measure was .90.

**Need Satisfaction Outside the Activity (in Life in General).** To assess a broader range of feelings of need satisfaction, 18 items (six for each need) from the Basic Psychological Needs Scale (Deci & Ryan, 2000) were used to measure the extent to which individuals’ needs are met outside the passionate activity. Items were combined to create a global satisfaction variable. The reliability coefficient for this measure was .89.

**Passion for Basketball.** Passion for basketball was assessed using the Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003), which was adapted for this study to refer to basketball as one’s passionate activity. Reliability coefficients were .85 and .86 for HP and OP, respectively.

**Satisfaction With Life.** The five-item Satisfaction With Life Scale (Diener et al., 1985) was used to measure how satisfied people feel regarding general aspects of their lives. The reliability coefficient was .89.

**Burnout.** Due to space constraints in the survey, only the five-item Emotional/Physical Exhaustion subscale of the Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (ABQ; Raedeke & Smith, 2001) was used to measure the extent to which participants feel exhausted by their involvement in basketball. Sample items include “I feel overly tired from my participation in basketball” and “I am exhausted by the mental and physical demands of basketball.” This instrument uses a 5-point Likert-type frequency scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). The reliability coefficient was .90.

**Results and Discussion**

**Data Analyses.** Means, standard deviations, and correlations for all measures are presented in Table 3. Missing values (less than 5% missing at random) were replaced by regression-based imputation. No univariate outliers were found. Four multivariate outliers exceeded the 22.46 critical chi-square value ($df = 6$, $p = .001$) and were deleted. As shown in Figure 3, HP was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the activity (i.e., basketball; $\beta = .73$, $p < .001$). OP was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (i.e., at work) to predict increases in need satisfaction ($\beta = .51$, $p < .001$) and negatively predicted by need satisfaction outside the activity (i.e., in life in general; $\beta = -.22$, $p < .001$). In addition, satisfaction with life was positively predicted by HP ($\beta = .21$, $p = .003$) and general need satisfaction ($\beta = .50$, $p < .001$). Finally, burnout was positively predicted by OP ($\beta = .22$, $p = .001$) and negatively predicted by general need satisfaction ($\beta = -.31$, $p < .001$). The model fit the data adequately, $S-B \chi^2(6, N = 193) = 8.08$, $p = .19$, $S-B \chi^2/df = 1.35$, $CFI = .99$, $IFI = .99$, $RMSEA = .04$.

The results of Study 3 replicated the same associations seen thus far in Studies 1 and 2. Once again, only OP resulted from a lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (in life in general). Both HP and OP were predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity, and once again the association was stronger for HP. Furthermore, in line with the results of Study 1, HP positively predicted life satisfaction. Also, in line with Study 2 and past research (Rousseau & Vallerand, 2008; Vallerand et al., 2010), OP positively predicted a negative outcome, namely, burnout. It is also noteworthy that HP predicted life satisfaction over and above the influence of general need satisfaction. This finding suggests that one’s passion, when harmonious, contributes positively to psychological well-being through some other processes, possibly positive emotions (see Rousseau & Vallerand, 2008). Finally, in line with SDT, results also showed that global need satisfaction positively predicted life satisfaction and negatively predicted burnout. In other words, the more people’s needs are satisfied in life in general, the more they experience positive consequences and the less they experience negative ones.

**STUDY 4**

Study 4 used a prospective design over a 6-month period to investigate the role of need satisfaction inside and outside the passionate activity in predicting changes in passion and the role of passion in predicting outcomes. Participants in Study 4 were passionate about their work. Many people derive much enjoyment from their work and even become passionate about it (Forest, Mageau, Sarrazin, & Morin, 2011). In this study, we used a different outcome variable, namely, vitality (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). Past research has shown that HP positively predicted vitality, whereas OP was unrelated to it (Rousseau & Vallerand, 2003). Overall, we expected a lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (i.e., in life in general) to predict increases only in OP. We also expected high levels of need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (at work) to predict increases in both HP and OP over time. In turn, we expected HP to positively predict vitality at Time 2 over and above the contribution of vitality at Time 1. In line with past research, we expected OP to be unrelated to vitality (see Vallerand, 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Needs: basketball</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Needs: general</td>
<td>.30*</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>-.33**</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Harmonious passion</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Obsessive passion</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Satisfaction with life</td>
<td>-.17*</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Burnout</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05. **p < .01.
Method

Participants. Participants were 251 French-speaking residents of the province of Quebec (122 women, 129 men) working as professionals for the provincial government (e.g., research agents, computer scientists, biologists, and statisticians). They were recruited from their union’s website and were asked to complete two questionnaires online. They completed the second survey 6 months after the first. The mean age of the participants was 44.74 years (SD = 9.46 years). On average, they had been working at their job for 10.36 years (SD = 8.32 years) and worked on average 35.08 hours per week (SD = 3.57 hours). The final sample, after exclusions (see Data Analyses below), includes 248 individuals.

Measures

Need Satisfaction Inside the Activity (at Work). Six items (two items for each need) from Morin and Dassa (2006) were used to measure the extent to which one’s basic psychological needs are met at work (the passionate activity). Sample items include “My job allows me to make decisions” (autonomy), “My job corresponds to my skills” (competence), and “My job allows me to have good relationships with others” (relatedness). Items were measured on a 6-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Items were combined into a single variable with a reliability coefficient of .83.

Need Satisfaction Outside the Activity (in Life in General). Six items (two items for each need) from Massé et al. (1998) were used to measure the extent to which one’s basic psychological needs have been met in general in one’s life (outside the passionate activity) during the past month. Sample items include “I have integrity and am natural in all circumstances” (autonomy), “I feel useful” (competence), and “I am on good terms with those close to me” (relatedness). Items were measured on a 5-point Likert-type frequency scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (almost always). All items were combined to form a single need satisfaction variable with a reliability coefficient of .82.

Passion for Work. Passion was assessed with the Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003), which was adapted for this study to reflect passion for work. Participants completed this scale twice—6 months apart. Reliability coefficients for both subscales were .89 and .76 for HP and OP at Time 1 and .88 and .79 at Time 2.

Vitality. The Subjective Vitality Scale (Ryan & Frederick, 1997) was used to measure participants’ feelings of vitality in life in general. Five items were used. Sample items include “I feel alive and vital” and “I look forward to each new day.” The five-item version used in this study showed adequate reliability at both Time 1 (α = .92) and Time 2 (α = .93). Further, confirmatory factor analysis results showed good model fit for the five-item factor at Time 1 (i.e., factor loadings between .80 and .92), S-B χ²(4, N = 248) = 10.15, p = .04, χ²/df = 2.54, CFI = .99, IFI = .99, RMSEA = .08, and at Time 2 (i.e., factor loadings between .79 and .89), S-B χ²(4, N = 248) = 9.77, p = .04, χ²/df = 2.44, CFI = .99, IFI = .99, RMSEA = .08. The items not assessed were “I don’t feel very energetic” and “Sometimes I feel so alive I just want to burst.”

Results and Discussion

Data Analyses. Means, standard deviations, and correlations for all measures in Study 4 are presented in Table 4. Missing values were replaced by regression-based imputation. No univariate outliers were found. Six multivariate outliers exceeded the
Consequences of the Passionate Activity on Internal States

The present results have a number of implications for the Dualistic Model of Passion. A first implication is that it appears that need satisfaction inside the passionate activity, HP, and OP) with data from all four studies (N = 648). Essentially, we tested the same model as in the previous studies, but this time we focused on all common elements of the model across the four studies and tested all samples simultaneously. Means, standard deviations, and correlations for all measures in the conglomeration analysis are presented in Table 5. Outcome measures were omitted, as they differed from study to study. Since the data from Study 4 were longitudinal, we used the need satisfaction measures taken at Time 1 and the passion measures taken at Time 2. As shown in Figure 5, harmonious passion was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (β = .63, p < .001). Obsessive passion was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity (β = .42, p < .001) and negatively predicted by need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (β = -.35, p < .001). Results showed that the model fit the data well, S-B χ²(1, N = 648) = 0.14, p = .71, χ²/df = 0.14, CFI = 1.0, IFI = 1.0, RMSEA = 0.0. Thus, the overall basic model was fully supported across all four studies.

General Discussion

The purpose of the present series of studies was to test the hypothesis that OP represents a compensatory response to unsatisfied psychological needs. As such, it was expected that only OP should be negatively predicted by need satisfaction outside the passionate activity, whereas both HP and OP should be positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity. Results from four studies provided support for this hypothesis with different populations (i.e., young adults, middle-aged adults), various passionate activities (i.e., music playing, basketball, work), positive and negative outcomes (i.e., life satisfaction, negative affect, burnout, vitality), different sources of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (i.e., work, life in general), and different methodologies that included a mixed design (Study 2) and a prospective 6-month design (Study 4). These findings lead to a number of important implications.

Implications for the Dualistic Model of Passion

The present results have a number of implications for the Dualistic Model of Passion. A first implication is that it appears that

Table 4 Summary of Intercorrelations, Means, and Standard Deviations for All Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 Needs: work</td>
<td>.44***</td>
<td>.59***</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.53***</td>
<td>.56***</td>
<td>- .01</td>
<td>.39***</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Needs: general</td>
<td>.46***</td>
<td>-.16***</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.63***</td>
<td>.42***</td>
<td>-.29***</td>
<td>.53***</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmonious passion</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.75**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.57***</td>
<td>.75**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.45***</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Obsessive passion</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.64***</td>
<td>- .07</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Vitality</td>
<td>.51***</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.69***</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 Harmonious passion</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.57***</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 Obsessive passion</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 Vitality</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05. **p < .01.

26.13 critical chi-square value (df = 8, p = .001) and were deleted. As shown in Figure 4, results revealed that the passion at Time 2 was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity at Time 1 (i.e., at work; β = .17, p < .01) and by HP at Time 1 (β = .59, p < .001). OP at Time 2 was positively predicted by need satisfaction inside the passionate activity at Time 1 (β = .10, p = .027), by a lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity (in life in general) at Time 1 (β = -.29, p < .001), and by OP at Time 1 (β = .59, p < .001). Finally, vitality at Time 2 was positively predicted by HP at Time 2 (β = .31, p < .001), negatively predicted by OP at Time 2 (β = -.12, p = .017), and positively predicted by vitality at Time 1 (β = .53, p < .001). Overall, the model fit the data well, S-B χ²(9, N = 248) = 18.45, p = .03, χ²/df = 2.05, CFI = .99, IFI = .99, RMSEA = .07.

Once again, a pattern emerged where need satisfaction inside the passionate activity predicted both types of passion but where a lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity predicted only one type of passion: OP. An important feature of this study was that these findings pertained to increases in both types of passion that took place over a 6-month period. The findings from this prospective design provide additional evidence for the role of OP as a compensatory response to unsatisfied needs outside the passionate activity. Finally, HP (but not OP) was found to predict vitality over and above the contribution of the initial vitality level.

Table 5 Summary of Intercorrelations, Means, and Standard Deviations for Main Variables in All Studies Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs: in</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs: out</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>-.25**</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmonious passion</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive passion</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05. **p < .01.
need satisfaction is a consistent determinant of both types of passion. Specifically, the present findings suggest that need satisfaction represents a determinant of the type of passionate engagement one manifests for an activity that one is already passionate about. Of importance, two sources of need satisfaction are involved and play different roles in the two types of passion. The first source is found in the context of the passionate activity. Need satisfaction inside the passionate activity was found to positively predict both types of passion. It is also important to note that in all four studies, the association between need satisfaction inside the passionate activity and passion was stronger for HP than OP. This finding suggests that need satisfaction inside the passionate activity contributes more to the optimal type of passion (i.e., HP) than to the less optimal type (i.e., OP). Overall, this particular source of need satisfaction—inside the passionate activity—contributes to the ongoing development of one’s passion even years after initial engagement and is necessary to fuel both types of passion.

The second source of need satisfaction is found outside the passionate activity. In line with past research (see Sheldon, 2011), the results of the present studies suggest that low levels of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity could motivate people to seek need satisfaction in a different context, including in a need-satisfying passionate activity. Indeed, we found that a lack of need satisfaction at work or in life in general predicted the obsessive type of passion. Indeed, when this source of need satisfaction is expected to make up for low need satisfaction in an important life area, people may end up clinging tightly to it. Consequently, they may lose control over the activity. Need satisfaction outside the passionate activity did not play a role in HP. With HP, individuals are involved in the activity for its own sake. Past research (Bonneville-Roussy et al., 2011; Vallerand et al., 2007, 2008) has found that the only goal that characterizes activity involvement fueled by HP is the search for mastery within the activity. With HP, one’s involvement in a passionate activity provides one with an additional, optional

Figure 4 Study 4: Structural equation model results. Path coefficients are presented as standardized coefficients. Not all covariances are shown. †p < .10. *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.
source of need satisfaction. From an interpersonal perspective, our results suggest that when confronted with OP, friends and family should perhaps encourage their obsessively passionate loved one to diversify his or her activities in order to allow the person to obtain psychological need satisfaction from different sources. Thus, in order to determine which type of passion one is likely to manifest, it becomes important to look beyond the passionate activity itself. In line with the hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation (Vallerand, 1997; Vallerand & Miquelon, 2008), one’s psychological functioning in other important life contexts is equally important to consider to more fully understand the nature of motivational processes in another life area (or context) or for a specific activity.

A second implication of the present findings for the DMP is that they provide support for the differential role of the two types of passion in outcomes. Specifically, across the four studies in which outcomes were assessed, results showed that HP predicted higher levels of life satisfaction (Studies 1 and 3) and vitality (Study 4) but lower levels of negative affect (Study 2). Conversely, OP was found to be positively related to negative outcomes such as negative affect (Study 2) and burnout (Study 3) and either negatively related to positive outcomes (Study 1) or unrelated to them (Studies 3 and 4). These findings are in line with past research that shows that a harmoniously passionate engagement in an activity that one loves has some salutary effects, whereas such is not the case when one’s passion is obsessive (see Vallerand, 2010, for a review). Of importance is that passion has an effect on meaningful outcomes over and above the effect of need satisfaction. Overall, it would appear that HP adds to one’s life by producing positive global (and long-lasting) outcomes that go beyond the positive situational experiences associated with task engagement. With OP, however, the benefits derived from the passionate activity seem mostly circumscribed to the immediate positive consequences associated with task engagement (e.g., increased performance). In fact, OP may actually take away from life satisfaction, as this and other long-lasting outcomes are sacrificed in the service of situational beneficial experiences (Vallerand, 2010). Future research would be needed to test this hypothesis.

**Implications for Self-Determination Theory**

The present results also have some important implications for Self-Determination Theory. First, in line with SDT (Vansteenkiste & Ryan, 2013), our results suggest that a lack of need satisfaction in an important context such as work, or in one’s life in general, may be associated with compensatory behaviors (OP). Of importance, while SDT posits that, with time, thwarted needs should lead to a specific type of compensatory behavior, namely, seeking to satisfy rather unfulfilling materialistic or extrinsic needs (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Ryan et al., 2006), the present findings suggest that people may also compensate by seeking satisfaction in need-satisfying activities such as passionate ones. Therefore, while the end result of this compensatory process is similar to what is proposed by SDT concerning need substitutes and need compensation (e.g., decreased well-being and less self-determined motivation), the compensatory road appears to differ. Specifically, passionate individuals may seek real, albeit incomplete, need satisfaction in a different context (on this issue, see Vallerand, 1997; Vallerand & Miquelon, 2008). Perhaps because they are only somewhat nourished by these contexts, individuals who manifest an OP engage in rigid behavior patterns and show self-control deficiencies. Future research is needed to determine the type of compensatory goals people engage in as a function of time and prevailing situations.
A second implication for SDT is that a broader perspective on the context within which need satisfaction is experienced would appear necessary in order to make sense of the effects of need satisfaction experienced during activity engagement. For instance, the observation that need satisfaction inside the passionate activity predicted both types of passion underscores the fact that need satisfaction inside the activity is not sufficient in and of itself to determine the quality of one’s activity engagement. In his hierarchical model, Vallerand (1997, 2000) underscored the importance, from a motivational standpoint, of taking into consideration what happens in other areas of a person’s life in order to more fully understand what is experienced in a given life context or within the context of a given activity. It is possible that seeking need satisfaction in a particular context to compensate for a lack of it elsewhere puts one in a mind-set that is less fulfilling than simply experiencing need satisfaction without seeking to fill a void. For instance, Lavigne, Vallerand, and Crevier-Braud (2011) showed that people who have a need deficit reduction orientation with respect to belongingness (those who seek to reduce the lack of belongingness or relatedness in their life) experience lower levels of psychological and social well-being than those who have a growth orientation (those who enjoy engaging in interpersonal activities). Clearly, both sources of need satisfaction—inside and outside the passionate activity—are necessary to distinguish OP from HP. Future research is needed to determine whether combinations of both sources of need satisfaction may affect other types of motivational processes and their ensuing consequences and experiences.

A third implication of the present findings as pertains to self-determination theory is that the present results provide additional support for the role of global need satisfaction in positive outcomes. As expected, global need satisfaction (in one’s life in general) positively predicted life satisfaction and negatively predicted burnout in Study 3. These findings have been replicated numerous times in the literature (see Deci & Ryan, 2000) and provide support for the hypothesis that the psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness represent important nutrients for psychological well-being. What was surprising, however, was the absence of an association between global need satisfaction and negative affect (Study 2) and vitality (Study 4). Future research is needed in order to determine when global need satisfaction facilitates positive psychological outcomes and when it does not.

LIMITATIONS

Some limitations of the present research should be mentioned. First, the design used in three of the four studies was correlational. While the results of Study 2 (where lack of outside need satisfaction was experimentally induced) supported the directional effects of lack of need satisfaction outside the passionate activity on OP, additional research is needed to replicate these findings. In addition, longitudinal research using cross-lagged designs would be useful to determine the developmental sequence of events. More research is needed to adequately describe the dynamic patterns between need satisfaction and passion. Second, the data were based on self-reported information that may be subject to self-presentation biases. Other types of reports (e.g., informants) or observations are necessary in order to provide additional evidence of the role of need satisfaction as a determinant of passion. Third, a small number of variables were measured with only two items (i.e., autonomy, competence, and relatedness in Study 4) and thus were not suitable for the calculation of Cronbach’s alpha coefficients. In some instances, low reliability as well as other potential artifacts (e.g., suppression effects, large standard errors; see Friedman & Wall, 2005) may have contributed to the inconsistency observed between some zero-order correlations and corresponding beta coefficients. Perhaps a more stringent cut-off for signs of multicollinearity would be preferable in future studies. Fourth, while we did replicate the present findings in a number of different contexts and passionate activities and with different participants, caution must be taken when generalizing the results to other participants and activities that were not part of the present research.

CONCLUSION

The present research suggests that low levels of psychological need satisfaction in an important area of life (or in life in general) may contribute to overreliance on a need-satisfying passionate activity and to the development of OP for this activity. Thus, OP appears to play a compensatory role in the life of the individual whose needs are not sufficiently satisfied outside of the passionate activity. Consequently, two sources of need satisfaction—inside and outside the passionate activity—are necessary to distinguish HP from OP. It thus appears that considering these two sources of need satisfaction is necessary to gain a better understanding of the determinants of passionate forms of activity engagement.
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Notes

1. While some authors (e.g., Tian, Chen, & Huebner, 2014) prefer to test the three basic needs separately, doing so with the current data...
provided no clear pattern worth mentioning. Thus, needs are considered as a whole throughout this article.

2. The sample for this study is the same as the one described in Lavigne, Forest, and Crevier-Braud (2012). However, in this study, we explored different variables, proposed different hypotheses, and conducted different analyses.
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